

Panaji, 26th February, 2015 (Phalguna 7, 1936)

SERIES II No. 48

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There are four Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 47 dated 19-02-2015 as follows:—

- (1) *Extraordinary dated 19-02-2015 from pages 1003 to 1008 regarding Orders/Notifications from Department of Elections & Notifications from Department of Panchayati Raj & Community Development.*
- (2) *Extraordinary (No. 2) dated 20-02-2015 from pages 1009 to 1010 regarding Order from Department of Panchayati Raj & Community Development.*
- (3) *Extraordinary (No. 3) dated 20-02-2015 from pages 1011 to 1012 regarding Orders from Department of Elections.*
- (4) *Extraordinary (No. 4) dated 25-02-2015 from pages 1013 to 1014 regarding Notifications from Department of Elections & Department of Inland Waterways (Captain of Ports).*

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, the Altinho Apartments Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Near St. Mary Primary School Alto, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa, has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-68/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

The Altinho Apartments Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Near St. Mary Primary School Alto, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa, has been registered on 09-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-68/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Housing Maintenance Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(7) and sub-classified as "Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society" under sub-rule 7(d) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th December, 2014.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, Shree Devi Mauli Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., H. No. 899, Gokulwadi Near Sai Govind Printing Press, Sankhali-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-482/SHG/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Devi Mauli Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., H. No. 899, Gokulwadi Near Sai Govind Printing Press, Sankhali-Goa has been registered on 29-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-482/SHG/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "General Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(12) and sub-classified as "Other Society" under sub-rule 12(c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 2014.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, Kaloba Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Bhatwadi, Korgao, Pedne-Goa, has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-483/SHG/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 31st December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

Kaloba Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Bhatwadi, Korgao, Pedne-Goa, has been registered on 31-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-483/SHG/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "General Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(12) and sub-classified as "Other Society" under sub-rule 12(c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 31st December, 2014.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, the Green Enclave Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Verla, Bardez-Goa, has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-69/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

The Green Enclave Co-op. Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Verla, Bardez-Goa, has been registered on 29-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-69/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Housing Maintenance Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(7) and sub-classified as "Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society" under sub-rule 7(d) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (V. B. Devidas), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 2014.

Notification

No. 5-1594-2015/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Adolfo Mansion Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Tembi, Margao, Salcete-Goa" is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(d)-949/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"The Adolfo Mansion Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Tembi, Margao, Salcete-Goa" has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(d)-949/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(d)-Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society, in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1595-2015/ARSZ

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Newton Apartments II Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Mangor Hill, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa" is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-950/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"The Newton Apartments II Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Mangor Hill, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa" has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-950/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society, in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1596-2015/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Valankanni Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Poriebhath, Verna Goa," is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-143/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"The Valankanni Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Poriebhath, Verna Goa," has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-143/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 12-(c)-Other Society in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1598-2015/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "Shree Kalpurush Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Culwada, Cuncolim, Salcete-Goa," is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-145/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"Shree Kalpurush Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Culwada, Cuncolim, Salcete-Goa," has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-145/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 12-(c)-Other Society in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1597-2015/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Shiv Durga Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Rumdamol Housing Board, Margao-Goa", is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-144/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"The Shiv Durga Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Rumdamol Housing Board, Margao-Goa", has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-144/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 12-(c)-Other Society in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1599-2015/ARSZ/GEN

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Jay Asthavinayak Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Culwada, Cuncolim-Goa," is registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-146/South-Goa/2015.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Certificate of Registration

"The Jay Asthavinayak Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Culwada, Cuncolim-Goa," has been registered on 6-1-2015 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-146/South-Goa/2015 and it is classified as "General Society" under sub-classification No. 12-(c)-Other Society in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 6th January, 2015.

Notification

No. 5-1593-2014/ARSZ/RES

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "Navelchea Xetkarancho Ekvott Co-operative Farming Society Ltd., Aquem-Baixo, Navelim, Salcete-Goa," is registered under code symbol No. FRG-(a)-4/South-Goa/2014.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 23rd December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

"Navelchea Xetkarancho Ekvott Co-operative Farming Society Ltd., Aquem-Baixo, Navelim, Salcete-Goa," has been registered on 23-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. FRG-(a)-4/South-Goa/2014 and it is classified as "Farming Society" under sub-classification No. 6-(a)-Collective Farming Society in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 23rd December, 2014.

Notification

No. 5-1591-2014/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Prime Elite Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Behind Urvashi Hotel, Pixem Dongrim, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa", is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(d)-947/South-Goa/2014.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 17th December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

"The Prime Elite Co-operative Housing Maintenance Society Ltd., Behind Urvashi Hotel, Pixem Dongrim, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa", has been registered on 17-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(d)-947/South-Goa/2014 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(d)-Co-

-operative Housing Maintenance Society, in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 17th December, 2014.

Notification

No. 5-1592-2014/ARSZ

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8 of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, "The Do Rosario Residency Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Fatima Colony, Dabolim, Goa," is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-948/South-Goa/2014.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 17th December, 2014.

Certificate of Registration

"The Do Rosario Residency Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Fatima Colony, Dabolim, Goa," has been registered on 17-12-2014 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-948/South-Goa/2014 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 7-(b)-Co-partnership Housing Society, in terms of Rule 8 of Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (A. K. N. Desai), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 17th December, 2014.

◆◆◆

Department of Finance
Revenue & Control Division

Order

No. 3/1/2009-Fin(R&C)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 5 of the Goa Entertainment Tax Act, 1964 (Act No. 2 of 1964), the Government of Goa is pleased to exempt the mega drama "VANDE MATARAM" from the liability of payment of entertainment tax in whole, to be staged at Goa College of Engineering ground, Farmagudi Goa for a period from 19th February, 2015 to 26th February, 2015.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ajit S. Pawaskar, Under Secretary, Fin. (R&C).
Porvorim, 25th February, 2015.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/1/2014-Lab/Part-I/46

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 12-08-2014 in reference No. IT/8/04 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Shashank V. Thakur, Under Secretary (Labour).
Porvorim, 27th January, 2015.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
LABOUR COURT
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Ms. Bimba K. Thaly, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/8/04

Workmen

Rep. by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh,
Shetye Sankul,
3rd Floor, Tisk,
Ponda, Goa

... Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s Auto Service,
Joshi Building,
Post Box. No. 39,
Vasco-da-Gama, Goa

... Employer/Party II

Workmen/Party I represented by Shri P. Gaonkar.
Employer/Party II represented by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.

AWARD

(Passed on this 12th day of August, 2014)

1. By order dated 7-10-03 bearing No. 28/42/2003-LAB and by Corrigendum dated 30-7-04 bearing No. 28/42/2003-LAB/500 the Government of Goa in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (for short The Act) has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

“(1) Whether the following demands served on the management of M/s. Auto Service, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh on behalf of the workmen, vide their letter dated 10-1-2002, are legal and justified?

Demand No.1: Pay Scales

Grade I : 1000-60-1300-70-1650-80-2050-90-2500-95-2975.

Grade II : 1100-65-1425-75-1800-85-2225-95-2780-100-3200.

Grade III : 1200-70-1550-80-1960-90-2400-100-2900-105-3425.

Grade IV : 1300-75-1700-85-2125-95-2600-105-3125-110-3675.

Grade V : 1500-80-1900-90-2350-100-2850-110-3400-120-4000.

Grade VI : 1600-85-2025-95-2500-105-3025-115-3600-125-4275.

Demand No. 2: Flat Rise

Union demands that all the workmen shall be given the flat rise at the rate of Rs. 500/-. The above amounts should be added to the existing basic and thereafter fitted in the revised pay scale in the higher stage.

Demand No. 3: Service Allowance

Union demands that the workmen should be given service allowance as mentioned below:

Service upto 3 years	: One increment.
Service from 3 years to 5 years	: Two increments.
Service from 5 years to 7 years	: Three increments.
Service from 7 years to 12 years	: Four increments.
Service from 12 years to 17 years	: Five increments.
Service from 17 years to 25 years	: Six increments.
Service above 25 years	: Seven increments.

Demand No. 4: Fixed Dearness Allowance/Variable Dearness Allowance

Union demands that the present rate of Fixed Dearness Allowance is very less and hence the same should be revised at the rate of 1200/beyond 1900 points (1960=100) and Variable Dearness Allowance shall be paid at the revised rate of

Rs. 2/- per point rise beyond 2000 points (1960=100) and present amount of Variable Dearness Allowance should be added to the above fixed Dearness Allowance, the computation of Variable Dearness Allowance shall be made quarterly based on the average consumer price index of preceding quarter.

Demand No. 5: House Rent Allowance

Union demands that House Rent Allowance should be paid at the revised rate of 20% of Basic and Dearness Allowance, as the cost of accommodation is very high in Goa due to Tourist state.

Demand No. 6: Paid Holidays

Union demands that all the workmen shall be granted paid holidays at the rate of 15 days per year.

Demand No. 8: Leave

Union demands that all the workers should be given leave on following basis:-

(A) Earned Leave: That all the workmen should be given Earned leave at the rate 30 days Earned Leave per year with accumulation upto 100 days and Leave shall be allowed to be taken 10 times in a year.

(B) Casual Leave: That all the workmen should be given casual leave at the rate of 12 days per year.

(C) Sick Leave: That all the workmen should be given Sick Leave at the rate of 12 days per year, as the ESIC does not give sick leave for first two days of sickness.

Demand No. 9: Travelling Allowance

Union demands that those workmen who have not been provided with housing accommodation shall be paid TA at the rate of Rs. 200/- per month.

Demand No. 10. Canteen Allowance

Union demands that all the workmen should be paid canteen subsidy at the rate of Rs.150/- per month.

Demand No.11. Supply of Raincoats/Umbrella

Union demands that all the workmen should be given Raincoats/Umbrella once in a year.

Union reserve the right to amend, add, delete any demands during the time of negotiation.

(2) If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

2. Upon receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/8/04 and registered AD notices were issued to both the parties and upon service, Party I filed the claim statement at Exb. 3 and Party II filed the written statement at Exb. 4. Party I then filed the rejoinder at Exb. 5.

3. In the claim statement it is in short the case of Party I that the earlier settlement signed between the parties expired on 31-3-01 and thereafter the union submitted the present charter of demands (COD) and as Party II did not take any positive steps to resolve the issue of COD, Asst. Labour Commissioner was requested for intervention but the matter ended in failure. Party I has reiterated the demands mentioned in the terms of reference, in the claim statement and has stated that the existing pay scales of the Party I workmen are very low; that Party II is well established in its line of business and its financial position is extremely sound; that the annual increments provided in the existing scales of pay are equally low; and therefore flat rise of Rs. 500/- is fair and reasonable. Party I has also stated that seniority increments are justified as there is very small difference between basic pay of senior workers and junior workers. Party I has stated that the quantum of dearness allowance paid to the workmen is highly inadequate and is paid at much lower rate than what is available to the workmen elsewhere and it does not neutralize even half of the rise in prices. Thus, according to Party I the demand for revision in dearness allowance is just, fair and proper. Party I has stated that the cost of accommodation is very high in Goa and hence the demand for house rent allowance and as regards paid holidays it is the case of Party I that other similar establishments in the region are granting higher paid holidays as Goa is full of festivals and to celebrate them most of the industrial establishments grant their workers the festival holidays. Party I has stated that as the workers need rest after working hard in order to maintain their health, their demand for increase in the leave is just and proper. Party I has stated that the workmen who are not provided with transport facility shall be paid travelling allowance as the cost of transport is very high and public transport is not adequately available. Party I has stated that the cost of food articles in the market is very high and there is no canteen facility and therefore the demand for canteen allowance. Party I has stated that it is well established practice of supplying raincoats/umbrellas to the workers once in a year to protect them from rains and hence the demand for supply of raincoats/umbrellas and

safety shoes. Party I has therefore prayed to declare that their demands are genuine, fair and reasonable and to grant the demands from the day of the expiry of the earlier settlement.

4. In the written statement Party II has denied the case of Party I and has stated that it is engaged in the trading and repair business of the two, three and four wheelers and owns petrol pumps; that their business affected considerably as the Principals i.e. manufacturers did not change their product as required for competitive market and also because new vehicles were introduced in the market so also road side mechanics came into existence and as these mechanics had no overheads, their charges were lower than Party II and hence customers started getting their vehicles repaired through them which affected the business of Party II. It is stated that around the year 2000/2001, their marketing area was restricted by its Principal i.e. Bajaj Auto Limited to Marmagao District only, which affected their business and that sale of Ambassador cars went down considerably so also the allotment of new petrol pump in the nearby vicinity on the same road had also reduced the business of petrol pumps to half. It is the contention of Party II that the revision of salaries and other benefits of the workmen are dependent on the existing financial position as well as expected business and considering the fact of introduction of new competitive vehicles in the market and the road side mechanics Party II will have no better business for the sale and repairs of the vehicles dealt by them and therefore they are not in a position to take any additional financial burden. It is stated that after the submission of COD by the union Party II examined its financial position and orally conveyed the said fact to the union and volunteered to give revision in the permissible limits by explaining all the facts but the union did not agree and took up the matter before Asst. Labour Commissioner, Vasco da Gama for intervention. It is stated that in the meantime majority of the workmen of Party I approached Party II and requested to revise the wages and other benefits within the permissible limits of Party II and accordingly Party II offered them revision of wages and other benefits but only 24 out of 40 workmen accepted the said offer and were paid the arrears as from 1-4-2002. It is stated that the letter dated 10-1-02 of Party I union enclosing therein the COD does not give any justification or reasons for revision demanded for. Thus, amongst above and other grounds, Party II has stated that Party I is not entitled to the reliefs claimed.

5. In the rejoinder at Exb. 5 Party I has denied the contentions raised by Party II in the written statement and has asserted its case set up in the claim statement.

6. Based on the pleadings of both the parties, issues were framed on 15-6-04 (Exb. 6).

7. In support of their case Party I examined Shri P. Gaonkar whereas Party II examined Shri Paresh Joshi in support of their defence.

8. Heard learned representative Shri P. Gaonkar for Party I and learned Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat for Party II. Both the parties have also filed the written submissions.

9. In his arguments Id. representative of Party I submitted that no annual increments were given to the workmen and therefore the wages were stagnant. He stated that Party I has claimed an additional amount of Rs. 2500/- per month per workmen and this amount deserves to be paid considering the rise in the cost of living. By referring to the documents pertaining to Balance sheets and profit and loss account, he stated that the losses are shown after depreciation and that Party II runs in profit. As regards comparison with the similar establishments he has stated that he has produced the settlements between M/s. Chowgule Industries and their workmen and M/s. Narciva Naik and their workmen who have been paying higher wages. In support of his submissions he relied on the judgments in the case of **Crown Aluminum Works v/s Their Workmen 1958-I-LLJ-1, The Workmen v/s Reptokos Brett & Co. and another 1992-LLR-I, Shivraj Fine Art Lithoworks v/s State Industrial Court 1978 I-LLJ-532 & Transport Corporation of India v/s Raja Mathardi Transport & General Kamgar Union 2002-III LLJ-385.**

10. On the other hand Id. adv. for Party II argued that COD has been raised on behalf of workmen from service department of Party II; that the demands raised are unreasonable and unjustified as the service department runs in loss; that the financial position of Party II is bad and therefore the wage revision which depends upon the financial position of the company as well as upon comparison with wages paid by the comparable concerns, cannot be granted. In support of his above submissions Id. Adv. for Party II relied on the judgment in the case of **Concept Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Aurangabad v/s Concept Pharmaceuticals Kamgar Sanghatana 2005 II CLR 337 and in the case of Mathura Prasad Srivastava and others v/s Saugor Electric Supply Co. Ltd and another Civil Appeals No.703-706/1964 (SC).**

11. I have gone through the records of the case and have duly considered the case advanced by both the parties. I am reproducing herewith the issues along with their findings and reasons thereof.

Sr. No.	Issues	Findings
1.	Whether the Party I union proves that the demands raised by it on behalf of the workmen against the Party II vide letter dated 10-1-2002 are legal and justified?	In the negative.
2.	Whether the Party II proves that the reference made by the Government is not maintainable because there is no establishment by name Goa Auto Services?	Does not survive.
3.	Whether the workmen are entitled to any relief ?	In the negative.
4.	What Award?	As per order below.

REASONS

12. *Issue No.1:* Undoubtedly, the settlement dated 4-3-98 executed between Party I and Party II expired on 31-3-01 and since then there has been no wage settlement executed between the parties. Though, in the claim statement it is averred that upon expiry of above settlement, the union vide their letter dated 19-10-01 submitted the present COD, in the written statement it is the case of Party II that on 21-1-02 they received a letter dated 10-1-02 of the Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh (GMS) enclosing the COD. Even for that matter, in his cross examination by the Ld. advocate for the Party II, Shri Puti Gaonkar, has admitted that the demands were raised by Party I vide letter dated 10-1-02. Thus, it is apparent that the COD was submitted by Party I vide letter dated 10-1-02 and not by letter dt.19-10-01. It is otherwise not in dispute that Party II did not accept the said COD and as such the matter went before the ALC but with no positive results.

13. In his evidence Shri Puti Gaonkar has stated that the existing pay scales are very low and cannot be compared with even a small industrial establishment where the pay scales are higher than that of Party II. Shri Puti Gaonkar has also stated that the annual increments provided in the existing scales are low compared to the increments provided

in pay scales elsewhere. He has stated that demands for seniority increments are reasonable and that the existing rate of dearness allowance is highly inadequate and required to be increased. It may be mentioned here that in his arguments Shri P. Gaonkar made it clear that Party I was not pressing for demand No.5 to demand No.11 pertaining to House Rent Allowance, Paid Holidays, Leave Travelling Allowance, Canteen Allowance and Supply of Raincoats and Umbrella. Shri P. Gaonkar has placed on record settlement dated 17-11-2000 between M/s. Chowgule Industries and their workmen, settlement dated 28-6-99 between M/s. Narciva Naik and their workmen to show the comparison between the wages and the minimum wage notification No. 24/21/2009-LAB(15) and settlement dated 4-3-98 between Party II and its workmen to emphasize that wages paid by Party II are below minimum, at Exb.20 to Exb. 23 respectively.

14. In his cross examination Shri P. Gaonkar was shown notification dated 29-10-98 in respect of Automobile repairing workshop and he has admitted that this notification was issued by Government of Goa and it prescribes minimum wages for the workers in different categories from 23-10-98. It is marked as Exb. 24. Shri P. Gaonkar has also admitted that the minimum wages were revised w.e.f. 1-7-03 vide notification dated 27-6-03 and the same is marked as Exb. 25. Shri P. Gaonkar has further admitted that Exb. 25 was issued by Government of Goa and it prescribes minimum wages for workers in different categories from 1-7-03. He has also stated that he did not go through the profit and loss and balance sheet of Party II before submitting the charter of demands and that he had made the demand on considering the work rendered by the workmen. He has admitted that he did not consider the financial position of Party II to make the said demands and this is because according to him by virtue of services rendered by the workmen, the Party II was making profit. This witness was shown balance sheet dated 31-3-03 along with profit and loss account for the year ended 31-3-03, balance sheet dated 31-3-05 along with profit and loss account for the year ended 31-3-05; balance sheet dated 31-3-07 along with profit and loss account for the year ended 31-3-07 and has admitted the same. The same are marked as Exb. 26 colly, Exb. 27 colly and Exb. 28 colly respectively.

15. As regards the settlements at Exbts. 20 and 21 respectively Shri P. Gaonkar has stated that he does not know as to what was the turnover of Chowgule Industries Ltd., at the time of signing of

Exb. 20 as well as the turn over of M/s. Narciva Naik at the time of signing Exb.21. He has also stated that he does not know what were the profits of these two establishments at the time of signing of the above settlements.

16. Shri Paresh Joshi the MD of Party II has in short stated that Party II who was trading in vehicles due to various reasons mentioned in his affidavit such as not changing of their product by their Principals as required for competitive market, introduction of new make vehicles in the market and coming into existence of roadside mechanics, lost their business considerably and as such the turnover of Party II went down affecting their profits. He has stated that the revision of salaries and other benefits of the workmen are dependent on the existing financial position as well as the expected business and while examining the financial position of the establishment it has to be seen as to what has been the progress of the industry in question, what are the prospects of the industry in future, has the industry been making profits and if as to what extent etc. He has stated that for the financial year 2001/2002 to 2004/2005 Party II had suffered losses throughout and also the Service Department, the workers from which have raised the present dispute was running in loss. He has stated that his unit cannot be compared with that of M/s. Chowgule Industries and M/s. Narciva Naik as they deal in the latest models of four wheelers and six wheelers who are in demand and their turnover is in crores. Finally he has stated that Party II is not in a position to give any further increase than what was offered to the union and accepted by other workers. He has produced the statement of gross salary paid to service department staff from April 2003 to March 2008 at Exb.30 and year-wise comparative information on poor performance of the firm and downfall in sales from 2002-03 to 2006-07.

17. In his cross examination this witness has stated that he does not get any commission from the company towards the sale of the vehicles and what he gets is the difference in price for which he buys the vehicle from the company and sells it to the customers. He has stated that they get profit margin towards sale of spare parts and that the customer is charged towards spare parts of the vehicle except when the vehicle is in the warranty period. He has denied the suggestion that the demands raised by the workers were legal and justified and the same were not accepted by the company only to put a break in the union.

18. As regards the judicial pronouncements relied upon by the Ld. Representative of Party I, the judgment in the case of **Crown Alluminium (supra)** indicates that an industry has to pay its workmen at least a bare minimum wage or else the company cannot exist.

19. The judgment in the case of **The Workmen v/s Reptokos Brett (supra)** explains what is minimum wage and states that the management can revise the wage structure to the prejudice of the workmen in a case where due to financial stringency it is unable to bear the burden of the existing wage but in an industry where the wage structure is at the level of minimum wage no revision in wage structure is permissible even on the ground of financial stringency.

20. The observations in the case of **Shivraj Fine Arts (supra)** speak as to how the financial capacity of the employer is to be determined. In this judgment reference is made to various other judgments including that of **Williamsons (India) Pvt. Ltd. v/s the Workmen, 1962 I LLJ 302** and the case of the **Workmen of Balmer Lawrie & Co. v/s Balmer Lawrie & Co. 1964 I LLJ 380** to indicate as to what factors are to be considered for comparing one concern with the other. It is also observed that so far as minimum wage is concerned it is to be fixed without any reference to the paying capacity of the industry.

21. In the judgment in the case of **Transport Corporation of India (supra)** it is held that the burden of proof to show that he has no financial capacity to withstand the burden would be on the employer as it is he who knows his financial position. This judgment also states that the Tribunal has power to even grant the demand more than claimed.

22. The judgment in the case of **M/s. Unichem Laboratories Ltd. (supra)** indicate that provision for depreciation and other reserves cannot be deducted in computing profits.

23. Coming to the judicial pronouncements relied upon by the Ld. Advocate for Party II, the observations in the judgment in the case of **Concept Pharmaceuticals (supra)**, make it clear that there has to be evidence as to industry-cum-region formula justifying revision and there has to be finding that the industry can bear the additional burden. The judgment in the case of **Express Newspaper v/s Union of India and others 1961 I LLJ 339** has been relied upon in the above case and the principles culled out from this judgment are as under:

“(1) that in the fixation of rates of wages which include within its compass the fixation of scales of wages also, the capacity of the industry to pay is one of the essential circumstances to be taken into consideration except in cases of bare subsistence or minimum wage where the employer is bound to pay the same irrespective of such capacity;

(2) that the capacity of the industry to pay is to be considered on an industry-cum-region basis after taking a fair cross-section of the industry; and

(3) that the proper measure for gauging the capacity of the industry to pay should take into account the elasticity of demand for the product, the possibility of tightening up the organization so that the industry could pay higher wages without difficulty and the possibility of increase in the efficiency of the lowest paid workers resulting in increase in production considered in conjunction with the elasticity of demand for the product –no doubt against the ultimate background that the burden of the increased rate should not be such as to drive the employer out of business”.

24. In the judgment in the case of **Mathura Prasad Srivastava (supra)** the principle culled out while considering the question regarding revision of wages is that the financial position of the company needs to be taken into account while revising the wages and if the company has no financial capacity to bear the increase in wages, such request by the workmen needs to be rejected.

25. As rightly pointed out by the Id. advocate for Party II, it can be made out that the total increase demanded by the union vide the present COD is between 4,74,120 to 6,11,064 per annum for 18 workers for the first year and thereafter there would be an increase @Rs. 96,120 per year on account of incremental scale, VDA and HRA. It is the contention of Party II that after the year 1998-99 the business activities of Party II were affected and its turn over came down and this position is not seriously disputed by Party I.

26. As laid down in the judgment in the case of **M/s. Unichem Laboratories Ltd. (supra)** provision for taxation and for reserves cannot take precedence over fixation of wages and therefore the financial position of Party II before depreciation and taxation needs to be considered to find out the financial status of Party II. Perusal of profit and loss account Exb. 26 colly, Exb. 27 colly and

Exb. 28 colly for the years 2001/2002 to 2004/2005 reveals that the gross profit made by Party II before depreciation and taxation for the year 2001/2002 is Rs. 26,301.91, for the year 2002/2003 is Rs. 90,654.89, for the year 2003/2004 is Rs. 55,029.63 and for the year 2004/2005 is Rs. 77,095.48.

27. I have already pointed out supra that on the basis of demands made by Party I the total increase per annum for 18 workers for the first year ranges between Rs. 4,74,120 to Rs. 6,11,064 and the same would increase per year. Thus comparing this figure with the gross profits made by Party II as envisaged in Exb. 26 colly to Exb. 28 colly which is pointed out in the above para, it becomes clear that the financial position of Party II is not that sound as to bear the burden of increase in wages. Thus, the observations in the judgment in the cases of **Concept Pharmaceuticals Ltd., and Mathura Prasad Srivastava (both cited supra)** squarely apply to the case in hand.

28. Even for that matter, it can also be made out from the profit and loss account documents from Exb.26 colly to Exb.28 colly that service department of Party II runs in loss and this is because the present charter of demands are raised mainly by the employees of the Service Department. As per Exb. 26 colly to Exb. 28 colly the expenses and losses for the Service Department for the years 2001/2002 to 2004/2005, of Party II are as follows:

Year	Income	Expenses	Loss
2001-2002	10,99,329.67	14,65,962.18	- 3,66,632.51
2002-2003	1,20,144.92	13,73,380.28	- 2,53,235.36
2003-2004	9,49,629.03	11,53,479.02	- 2,03,849.99
2004-2005	10,95,639.30	11,89,356.61	- 93,717.31

29. Being so, the total loss from the Service Department for the years from 2001/2002 to the year 2004/2005 was Rs. 9,17,435.17. Even for that matter, if the total income and expenditures and profit and loss account of Party II is considered as a whole, then even in such a case it is apparent that Party II has made meagre profits before depreciation and taxation and therefore in such event the request of Party I of revision in wages cannot be allowed.

30. Id. Representative of Party I by relying on the judgment in the case of **Kamani Metals and Alloys v/s Their Workmen (1967) 2 S.C.R. 463** contended that the mere fact that there is an increase in the Consumer Price Index, justifies an upward revision. I have gone through this judgment and have noted that the above observations have been made in the light of the

submissions of the employer that there is no change of circumstances justifying a revision of wages and pay scales or dearness allowance. In the instant case the main defense of Party II is that it has no financial capacity to bear the burden of revised wages and in such situation, strictly speaking, the above observations cannot be made applicable to the fact situation in hand.

31. In his written arguments Ld. Representative of Party I has referred to the judgment in the case of **Hindustan Antibiotics Ltd. v/s Workmen (1967) 1 SCR 652** to explain the object of wage fixation as well as its purpose. I have gone through this judgment in which reference has been made to various other judgments of the Hon'ble Apex Court to explain the laudable aim of industrial policy. There cannot be any dispute over the propositions/observations made in this judgment but in the situation like the one in hand, where Party II has established that it has no financial capacity to bear the burden of revised wages, the observations in these judgments cannot be pressed into services.

32. The judgment in the case of **Shivraj Fine Arts (supra)** relied upon by the Ld. Representative of Party I speaks about the factors which determine the capacity of the employer and the same are the productivity of the labour; the prevailing rates of wages in the same and similar industries in the same or neighbouring localities; the present economic position of the industry and the prospects in the near future etc. No positive evidence on the above subjects is on record and on the contrary Party II has established that its economic position is not sound enough to bear the burden of revised wages. Being so, the observations in this judgment too are of no assistance to Party I to advance its case.

33. Now coming to the aspect of minimum wage, it cannot be disputed that the bare minimum or subsistence wage would have to be fixed irrespective of the capacity of the industry to pay as observed in the judgment in the case of **Crown Aluminium (supra)**. It may be mentioned here that in the charter of demands raised by Party I it is nowhere their specific case that Party II is not paying the minimum wages to the workers. It cannot be disputed that the question which is not raised in the reference cannot be considered indirectly while deciding the reference. Nevertheless, in the claim statement it is pleaded that bare minimum wage is subsistence wage and that considering this aspect the demand of the union is justified.

34. In order to substantiate the above stand Shri P. Gaonkar has produced at Exb. 22 the minimum wage notification No. 24/21/2009-LAB/(15) at Exb. 22, pertaining to categories of employees employed in various grades in the scheduled employment, namely, "employment in Automobile Repairing Workshops and Garages". It may be mentioned that Exb. 22 is of the year 2009 where as the demands in hand have been raised in the year 2002. Nonetheless, in his cross examination Shri P. Gaonkar has admitted that the notification dated 29-10-98 (Exb.24) prescribes minimum wages in different categories as from 23-10-98 and Exb. 25 is the notification revising the minimum wages w.e.f. 1-7-03. Thus, the notification for the purpose of minimum wages to be considered in this case has to be Exb.24 colly. In terms of Exb. 24 colly the minimum wage for engine mechanic is Rs. 67/- per day or Rs. 402/- per week or Rs.1742/- per month. It also cannot be disputed that the monthly rate of minimum wages payable to an employee employed in any category in respect of which daily rate of minimum wages is revised shall be computed by multiplying the daily rate by 26.

35. Apparently, the statement of gross salary paid to Service Department staff of Party II from April 2003 to March 2008 is produced by the witness of Party II at Exb. 30. Reading of Exb. 30 gives clear indication that the wages of almost all the employees from the Service Department are much above the minimum wages as prescribed in the notification at Exb. 24 colly which was published as on 29-10-98. Thus, the observations in the judgments in the cases of **Crown Aluminium and Reptakos Brett (both cited supra)** which are on the subject matter of minimum wage, are of no assistance to Party I to support their submissions. This being the situation, irrespective of the fact that in the reference in hand this court is not required to adjudicate upon the issue as to whether Party II has been paying minimum wages or not, it is clear that the wages paid to the employees from the Service Department by Party II are much above the minimum wage prescribed by the notification at Exb. 24 colly.

36. It is also the contention of Shri P. Gaonkar that while fixing the wages the Tribunal has firstly to compare within the "industry" and if such employers are not there then it can take any employer within the region. He further stated that while fixing a wage structure the Tribunal is bound to apply the principle of region-cum-industry among same or similar industries. It deserves to be noted that in terms of above principle of law and to show the comparison of wages Party I has

produced the settlements at Exb. 20 and Exb. 21 which are between M/s. Chowgule Industries and their workmen and M/s. Narciva Naik and their workmen respectively. It is worthwhile nothing that in his cross examination Shri P. Gaonkar has admitted in clear terms that he does not know as to what was the turnover of Chowgule Industries Ltd., so also as that of M/s. Narciva Naik at the time of signing of the settlements at Exb. 20 and 21 respectively. He has further stated that he does not know as to what were the profits of these two establishments at the time of signing of the above settlements. It may be mentioned here that in his written arguments Shri P. Gaonkar has shown a comparison between the wages paid by the above two establishments viz a viz the wages paid by Party II but it deserves to be noted that nothing of the above sort has been deposed by Shri P. Gaonkar before the court on oath and therefore there was no occasion for Party II to cross examine Shri P. Gaonkar on the above subject matter i.e. the comparison highlighted in the written arguments.

37. Even otherwise, it cannot be disputed that for the purpose of comparison between two concerns what is required to be looked into is the capital invested by them, the profits made by them, the nature of the business carried on by them, their standing, the strength of their labour force as well as the prospects about the future of their business as observed in the judgment in the case of **Williamsons (supra)**. It also cannot be disputed that in determining the question whether one concern is comparable with another in the matter of fixing wages the total capital invested by the concern, the extent of its business, the order of the projects made by the concern, the dividends paid, the number of employees employed in the concern and the standing in the industry to which it belongs have to be examined as observed in the judgment in the case of **Balmer Lawrie (supra)**.

38. Party I has failed to show the comparison in the above manner by making the required statements on oath before the court and therefore in such situation it would not stand to reason to say that the settlements at Exb. 20 and 21 could be looked into for the purpose of comparing the wages paid by the above establishments with that of the wages paid by the Party II. Even otherwise, it is apparent from the evidence on record that Party II is trading in the vehicles and they do not deal in the latest models of four wheelers and six wheelers like the above two establishments, and thus even for this reason the said two establishments cannot be termed as comparable concerns.

39. Thus, in the light of above discussion I am of the considered opinion that Party I has failed to prove issue No.1 and hence my findings.

40. *Issue No. 2:* Undoubtedly, vide corrigendum dated 30-7-04, the Government of Goa has corrected the name of the employer in the reference from “M/s. Goa Auto Service” to “M/s. Auto Service” and accordingly necessary correction has been made in the reference. Thus, nothing remains to be decided in this issue and hence my findings.

41. *Issue No. 3:* In view of discussion supra, the workmen are not entitled to any relief.

42. In the result, I pass the following

ORDER

1. It is hereby held that all the demands served on the management of M/s. Auto Service, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh on behalf of the workmen, vide their letter dated 10-1-2002, are illegal and unjustified.
2. Party I/workman are therefore not entitled to any relief.
3. No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. K. Thaly)
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal
cum-Labour Court

◆◆◆◆◆
Department of Law & Judiciary
Law (Establishment) Division

Certificate of Practice

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt) Part-II(VIII)/299

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 20-07-2010 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Smt. Rupa Amitkumar Dublay, to Tiswadi Taluka, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

Certificate of Practice

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt) Part-II(217)/300

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 29-01-2010 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Smt. Harsha R. Naik, to Mormugao Taluka, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

Certificate of Practice

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt) Part-II(215)/301

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 29-01-2010 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Shri Rajkumar N. Naik, to the entire State of Goa, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

Certificate of Practice

No. 5-40-2000-LD(Estt)/302

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 12-10-2000 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Shri Subhash Pundalik Sawant, to the entire State of Goa, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

Certificate of Practice

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt) Part-II(XI)/303

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 20-07-2010 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Smt. Maria Agneta Honorina Araujo, to the entire State of Goa, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

Certificate of Practice

No. 9-18-2004-LD(Estt) Part-II(216)/304

In partial modification of Certificate of Practice dated 29-01-2010 issued under the provisions of Notaries Act, 1952 (Central Act 53 of 1952) and the Notaries Rules, 1956 made thereunder, Government of Goa is pleased to extend the area of practice as a Notary of Shri Surajkumar N. Naik, to the entire State of Goa, under Rule 8A of the Notaries Rules, 1956, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Amul S. Gaunker, Under Secretary (Estt.).
Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

**Notification by the High Court of Judicature
Appellate Side, Bombay**

No. PL.B.1524/2014

Smt. Andreza Rodrigues e Pereira, Personal Assistant, High Court of Bombay at Goa, Panaji, is hereby promoted and appointed to officiate as Private Secretary, on the establishment of the High Court of Bombay at Goa, Panaji, in Pay Band of Rs. 15,600-39,100 and Grade Pay of Rs. 6,600/- with effect from the date she assumes charge of the said post.

By order and in the name of the Hon'ble the Chief Justice.

High Court of Judicature at
Bombay, 23rd December, 2014

Dr. Mrs. *Shalini
Phansalkar-Joshi*
Registrar General

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 6/5/2011-PER/488

On the recommendations of Goa Services Board and with the approval of the Government, Smt. Shabri Manjrekar @ Smt. Ruhi Redkar, Secretary, Goa Konkani Academy is hereby transferred and posted as Additional Director of Vigilance, with immediate effect, in public interest.

Smt. Redkar shall hold charge of the post of Secretary, Goa Konkani Academy, in addition to her duties and until further orders.

This issues with the concurrence of the Office of the Joint Chief Electoral Officer vide their letter No. 5/10/2015/ELEC/5392 dated 05-02-2015.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 9th February, 2015.

Order

No. 7/30/2014-PER

On the recommendations of Goa Services Board and with the approval of the Government, the transfers of the following officers are ordered with immediate effect, in public interest:-

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer and Designation	Transferred as
1.	Shri Nilesh B. Fal Desai, Director (IT)	Managing Director, Info Tech Corporation of Goa Ltd.
2.	Shri Elvis P. Gomes, Director Municipal Administration	Inspector General of Prisons.
3.	Shri Venancio Furtado, Director Municipal Collector (South)	Administration.

All the above officers shall complete the process of handing over/taking over within 7 days from the date of issue of order and submit compliance.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Additional Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 6th February, 2015.

Order

No. 15/1/99-PER(Part-2)484

Smt. Isha M. Sawant, Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Bardez shall hold the charge of Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Pernem in addition to her own duties. Smt. Sawant shall discharge her duty as Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Pernem on Tuesday and Thursday and remaining days of the week she shall discharge her duty as Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Bardez with immediate effect, in public interest.

This issues with the concurrence of the Office of the Joint Chief Electoral Officer vide their letter No. 5/10/2015/ELEC/5440 dated 07-02-2015.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 9th January, 2015.

Order

No. 7/30/2014-PER

The Governor of Goa is pleased to order the allocation of work/Departments amongst the officers as follows with immediate effect, until further orders:-

Sr. No.	Name & Designation	Department allotted
1.	Shri Mohan Lal, IAS, (2002)	Resident Commissioner, Goa Sadan.
2.	Shri Ameya Abhyankar, IAS (2007)	1. Director, Tourism. 2. Special Secretary (Finance-Budget). 3. Additional Secretary (Health). 4. Director, PPP Cell.
3.	Shri Sachin Shinde, IAS (2008)	1. Collector (South).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Additional Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 6th February, 2015.

Order

No. 6/2/2005-PER/564

On recommendation of the Goa Services Board and approval of the Government, Smt. Sneha

Morajkar, Dy. Director (Admn.), GMC holding additional charge of Dy. Director-II, GMC is transferred and posted as Under Secretary to Chief Secretary with immediate effect and in public interest.

Consequently, Shri Sanjeev Gauns Dessai, Dy. Collector, Revenue, North shall hold charge of the post of Dy. Director (Admn.), GMC and Dy. Director-II, GMC in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and in public interest.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 17th February, 2015.

◆◆◆
Department of Public Health

--
Corrigendum

No. 4/14/2003-II/PHD/Part 2/214

Read: Order No. 4/14/2003-II/PHD/Part 2 dated 05-02-2015.

The name appearing at Sr. No. 1 in the Government Order read at preamble shall be corrected to read as "Dr. Shital Viraj Parab" instead of "Dr. Shital Viraj Parag".

Rest of the contents remains unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sangeeta M. Porob, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 9th February, 2015.

◆◆◆
Department of Revenue

--
Order

No. 22/31/2013-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 22/31/2013-RD dated 28-11-2013, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 36 dated 05-12-2013, notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for segregation of dry waste at Colva in Survey No. 11/6 & 8 of Salcete Taluka (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification No. 22/31/2013-RD dated 25-06-2014, issued under Section 6 of the said Act, and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 15 dated 10-07-2014, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa hereby directs the Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 21st November, 2014.

Order

No. 22/33/2013-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 22/33/2013-RD dated 21-11-2013, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 36 dated 05-12-2013 and Corrigendum No. 22/33/2013-RD dated 11-12-2013 was issued and published in the Official Gazette Series II No. 38 dated 20-12-2013 notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for development of Village School Playground at Goa Velha in Tiswadi Taluka (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification No. 22/33/2013-RD dated 17-10-2014, issued under Section 6 of the said Act, and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 31 dated 30-10-2014, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa

hereby directs the Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 19th February, 2015.

Order

No. 22/26/2013-RD

Whereas, the Government of Goa, vide Notification No. 22/26/2013-RD dated 07-10-2013, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 29 dated 17-10-2013 notified that the land specified in the Schedule thereof (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for stationing one permanent CISF Battalion in Goa at Pernem Village in Pernem Taluka (hereinafter referred to as the "said public purpose");

And whereas, the Government of Goa, considered the report made by the Collector under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act and on being satisfied that the said land is needed for the said public purpose, vide Notification No. 22/26/2013-RD dated 30-09-2014, issued under Section 6 of the said Act, and published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 28 dated 09-10-2014, declared that the said land is required for the said public purpose.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Act 1 of 1894), the Government of Goa hereby directs the Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa to take the order for acquisition of the said land.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 19th February, 2015.

Notification

No. 23/25/2012-RD

Whereas, by Government Notification No. 23/25/2012-RD dated 11-06-2013 published at Series II No. 13 of the Official Gazette dated

27-06-2013 and in two local newspapers, namely "The Navhind Times" dated 16-06-2013 and "Sunaparant" dated 16-06-2013 & 20-07-2013, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of DWC 8R and Tail Minor of B2 distributory of RBMC of TIP at Alorna Village of Pernem Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as "the said land"), is needed for the public purpose now specified as Land Acquisition for construction of DWC 8R and Tail Minor of B2 distributory of RBMC of TIP of Water Resources Department at Alorna Village of Pernem Taluka, on dissolution of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under Clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Pernem		<i>Village:</i> Alorna
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
274/3 part	O: 1. Gajanan Madhav Shenoi Dessai. 2. Balchandra Laxman Kinalkar. 3. Govind Shripad Bhende. 4. Comunidade.	2419

1	2	3	1	2	3
	5. Government of Goa: Executive Engineer, W.D.VII, GTIDC, Dhargal, Pernem. T: 1. Govind Shripad Bhende.		282/42 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bhiku Vasu Dhuri.	63
280/1 part	O: 1. Pundalik Naik Arabekar. T: 1. Govind Shripad Bhende.	5447	282/41 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Dhondu Nhanu Raul.	68
282/60 part	O: 1. Comunidade. 2. Government of Goa: Directorate of Health Services, Panaji-Goa 2125 M2. T: 1. Bhiko Fati Raul. 2. Shankar Ramchandra Parabekar. 3. Rajaram Bhilo Raul. 4. Madhu Laxman Halarnkar. 5. Mukund Sathu Halarnkar.	532	282/40 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Krishna Narayan Raul.	44
			282/39 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Babani Keshav Raul.	40
			282/38 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bala Jayram Raul.	66
			282/37 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Gunu Ravji Raul.	59
			282/36 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Hari Sakham Raul.	67
			282/35 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Dattaram Bala Raul.	88
			282/34 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Shantaram Vasu Raul.	70
282/59 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Vishram Pandurang Naik.	36	282/33 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Arjun Puno Raul.	62
282/58 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Rama Durga Naik.	34	282/32 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Nilu Ladu Raul.	27
282/57 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Balchandra Chandrakant Naik.	34	282/31 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Vitho Bandro Raul.	12
282/56 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Shankar Rama Raul.	38	282/30 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Arjun Govind Raul.	64
282/55 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Navso Rama Raul.	38	282/29 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bablo Govind Gaundalkar.	65
282/54 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Gajanan Pundalik Raul.	45	282/28 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Narayan Sadu Pandit.	69
282/53 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Gajanan Sadhu Raul.	48	282/27 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Krishna Bhongado Pandit.	40
282/52 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Mahadev Ghanu Raul.	43	282/26 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Tukaram Krishna Raul.	73
282/51 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Vasant Sakham Raul.	52	282/25 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Parshram Atmaram Raul.	65
282/50 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Mahadev Rama Raul.	48	282/24 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Navso Guno Pandit.	73
282/49 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Shridhar Shankar Raul.	48	282/23 part	O: 1. Comunidade. 2. Government. T: 1. Keshav Deu Gawandi. 2. Shivram Desh Malik.	75
282/48 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Raghoba Dattaram Raul.	42	282/22 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Mohan Vishnu Gawandi.	25
282/47 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Narayan Vasu Gawas.	44	284/3 part	O: 1. Balchandra Sheno Dessai. 1/3 2. Anant Vishram Bhende. 1/3 3. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu. 1/3 T: 1. Novso Rama Raul.	205
282/46 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Ramchandra Devu Raul.	67	284/19 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Navso Rama Raul.	148
282/45 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bablo Laghu Raul.	36	232/83 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Raghoba Dattaram Raul.	600
282/44 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bhikaji Laghu Raul.	41	233/11 part	O: 1. Keshav Deu Gawandi. T: Nil.	370
282/43 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Suresh Hari Gaundalkar.	39			

1	2	3	1	2	3
233/12 part	O: 1. Shiva Pandurang Naik. T: Nil.	667		4. Rajaram Keshav Parab. 5. Shankar Vithal Parab. T: Nil.	
233/13 part	O: 1. Ladu Krishna Teli. T: Nil.	52	223/4 part	O: 1. Suryakant Babani Parab. T: Nil.	230
229/1 part	O: 1. Krishna Babli Parab. 2. Gajanan Mahadev Parab. 3. Rama Krishnoba Parab. 4. Jairam Keshav Parab. 5. Suryakant Babani Parab. 6. Babani Krishnoba Parab. T: Nil.	3290	223/5 part	O: 1. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab. 2. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab. T: Nil.	374
229/2 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Rajaram Lingudkar.	25	223/6 part	O: 1. Rajaram Kesshav Parab. 2. Vasudev Narayan Parab. T: Nil.	127
229/3 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Sakharam Mahadev Shirodkar.	145	223/7 part	O: 1. Baba Narayan Dessai. 2. Vasudev Narayan Dessai. 3. Saraswati Raghunath Parab. T: 1. Sukhaji Hari Parab.	92
229/4 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Rama Tukaram Shirodkar.	280	223/8 part	O: 1. Babaji Gangaram Parab. 2. Shivram Govind Parab. 3. Krishno Babani Parab. T: Nil.	101
229/5 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Arjun Rajaram Lingudkar.	165	223/9 part	O: 1. Appa Bapu Parab. T: Nil.	51
229/6 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Sakharam Mahadev Shirodkar.	240	223/10 part	O: 1. Gunoji Fati Parab. 2. Babani Tuke Parab. T: Nil.	63
229/9 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab. 2. Fati Tuke Parab. 3. Atmaram Vishnu Parab. T: 1. Rajaram Ladu Lingudkar.	137	223/11 part	O: 1. Shankar Vithal Parab. 2. Narayan Mahadev Parab. 3. Vishnu Shamba Parab. 4. Rajaram Keshav Parab. 5. Mukund Babaji Parab. T: Nil.	207
231/2 part	O: 1. Comunidade. T: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab.	286	223/12 part	O: 1. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab. 2. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab. T: Nil.	196
227/5 part	O: 1. Vishnu Ganesh Deshpabhu. 2. Shiva Ladu Parab. 3. Laxman Ravji Parab. 4. Babaji Bhiva Parab. 5. Sagun Mukund Parab. 6. Bapu Tuke Parab. T: 1. Sukhaji Hari Parab.	1475	223/13 part	O: 1. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab. 2. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab. T: Nil.	211
223/1 part	O: 1. Appa Bapu Parab. T: Nil.	106	223/14 part	O: 1. Shankar Vithal Parab. 2. Narayan Mahadev Parab. 3. Vishnu Shamba Parab. 4. Rajaram Keshav Parab. T: Nil.	154
223/2 part	O: 1. Gunoji Fati Parab. 2. Babani Tuke Parab. T: Nil.	76	223/15 part	O: 1. Vasudev Narayan Parab. 2. Baba Narayan Parab. 3. Saraswati Raghunath Parab. T: 1. Sukhaji Hari Parab.	145
223/3 part	O: 1. Mukund Babaji Parab. 2. Narayan Mahadev Parab. 3. Vishnu Shamba Parab.	190			

1	2	3	1	2	3
223/16 part	O: 1. Suryakant Babani Parab.	52		21. Babaji Bhiva Sawant.	
	T: Nil.			22. Shantaram Nana Sawant.	
223/17 part	O: 1. Babaji Gangaram Parab.	41		23. Keshav Jairam Sawant.	
	2. Shivram Govind Parab.			24. Ladu Shiva Parab.	
	T: Nil.			25. Krishnobha Babani Parab.	
223/18 part	O: 1. Gunoji Fati Parab.	50		26. Shankar Nana Parab.	
	2. Babani Tuke Parab.		199/1 part	O: 1. Shridev Satteri Halarna.	287
	T: Nil.			T: 1. Laxman Shiva Awadkar.	
223/19 part	O: 1. Appa Bapu Parab.	47	199/4 part	O: 1. Shridev Satteri Halarna.	135
	T: Nil.			T: Nil.	
223/21 part	O: 1. Suryakant Babani Parab.	714	199/5 part	O: 1. Shridev Satteri Halarna.	15
	T: Nil.			T: 1. Babaji Gangaram Parab.	
222/0 part	O: 1. Comunidade.	292	200/2 part	O: 1. Vishnu Shamba Parab.	71
	T: 1. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab.			2. Narayan Mahadev Parab.	
	2. Shamba Vishnu Parab.			3. Shankar Vittal Parab.	
221/1 part	O: 1. Mahadev Badhu Sawant.	1165		4. Rajaram Keshav Parab.	
	2. Ganapat Bapu Sawant.			5. Mukund Babaji Parab.	
	3. Vittu Mashe Sawant.		200/3 part	T: Nil.	
	4. Rubji Laxman Sawant.			O: 1. Vasu Bapu Parab.	86
	5. Sakharam Sabha Sawant.			2. Deu Narayan Parab.	
	6. Ramchandra Ravji Sawant.			3. Babani Tuke Parab.	
	7. Sukhanti Hari Parab.			T: Nil.	
	8. Kashinath Hari Parab.		200/4 part	O: 1. Baba Narayan Dessai.	82
	9. Sakharam Hari Parab.			2. Saraswati Reghunath Parab.	
	T: Nil.			3. Sitabai Ramchandra Parab.	
221/5 part	O: 1. Babli Laxman Chari.	410		4. Anant Dhake Parab.	
	T: 1. Vittu Mashe Gawas.			5. Chandrakant Arjun Parab.	
221/3 part	O: 1. Reghu Bathko Harijan.	710		6. Ladu Shiva Parab.	
	2. Bhicko Sagun Harijan.			7. Appa Bapu Parab.	
	T: Nil.			8. Vassudev Narayan Parab.	
178/1 part	O: 1. Bapu Tuke Parab.	4805		T: 1. Sukhaji Hari Parab.	
	2. Fati Tuke Parab.			2. Kashinath Hari Parab.	
	3. Atmaram V. Parab.			3. Sakharam Hari Parab.	
	4. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab.		200/5 part	4. Ramchandra Gunaji Parab.	
	5. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab.			O: 1. Krishna Babani Parab.	71
	6. Sukhaji Hari Parab.			2. Madhusudan Krishnaji S. Dessai.	
	7. Kashinath Hari Parab.			3. Keshav Jairam Parab.	
	8. Sakharam Hari Parab.			T: Nil.	
	9. Atma Bapu Parab.		200/6 part	O: 1. Jairam Ramchandra Parab.	94
	10. Babaji Gangaram Parab.			2. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab.	
	11. Shivram Govind Parab.			T: Nil.	
	12. Babani Tuke Parab.		200/7 part	O: 1. Vishnu Shamba Parab.	111
	13. Gunaji Fati Parab.			2. Narayan Mahadev Parab.	
	14. Rajaram Keshav Parab.			3. Shankar Vittal Parab.	
	15. Vishnu Shamba Parab.			4. Rajaram Keshav Parab.	
	16. Shankar Vittal Parab.			5. Mukund Babaji Parab.	
	17. Narayan Mahadev Parab.			T: Nil.	
	18. Shripad Krishna Sawant.		200/8 part	O: 1. Babaji Gangaram Parab.	56
	19. Laxman Ravji Sawant.			2. Shivram Govind Parab.	
	20. Sagun Mukund Sawant.			T: Nil.	
			200/9 part	O: 1. Government.	52
				T: 1. Vaman Tatthe Parab.	
				2. Gunaji Fati Parab.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
200/10 part O: 1. Appa Bapu Parab.		61	<i>Boundaries:</i>		
T: Nil.			North : S. No. 272/20, 274/3, 280/1,		
200/13 part O: 1. Vishnu Shamba Parab.		67	282/22 to 60, 284/3, 19, 232/83,		
2. Narayan Mahadev Parab.			233/4, 12, 13, 229/1, 19, 231/2,		
3. Shankar Vittal Parab.			223/21, 227/5, 222, 221/1, 3, 5,		
4. Rajaram Keshav Parab.			Nalla, 178/1, 200/2 to 10, 13,		
5. Mukund Babaji Parab.			14, 15, 18, 17, 19, 199/4, 5,		
T: Nil.			198/22 to 24.		
200/14 part O: 1. Atmaram Ramchandra Parab.		79	South : S. No. 272/20, 274/3, 280/1,		
2. Rajaram Ramchandra Parab.			282/33 to 60, 23 to 30, 284/3,		
T: Nil.			19, 232/83, 233/11, 12, 13, 229/4,		
200/15 part O: 1. Appa Bapu Parab.		66	10, 231/2, 227/5, 223/21, 222,		
T: Nil.			221/1, 5, 3, 178/1, 200/2 to 10,		
200/17 part O: 1. Government.		46	13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 17, 198/20 to		
T: 1. Vaman Tathe Parab.			22, 26, Nalla, Road.		
2. Gunaji Fati Parab.			East : S. No. 274/3, 272/20, 280/1, 282/60,		
200/18 part O: 1. Babli Kaxman Chari.		177	233/11, 232/83, 233/13, 229/1 to 6,		
2. Krishno Babani Parab.			9, 227/5, 223/1 to 19, 221/1, 178/1,		
3. Keshav Jairam Parab.			198/24 to 26, Road.		
T: 1. Mahadev Krishna Naik.			West : S. No. 272/20, 274/3, 280/1, 282/60,		
200/19 part O: 1. Babli Kaxman Chari.		148	232/83, 233/11, 227/5, 223/1 to 15,		
T: 1. Mahadev Krishna Naik.			221/1, 178/1, 199/1, 198/22 to 26,		
198/22 part O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.		15	229/1, 4 to 6, Road.		
2. Reghuraj Vassudev Deshprabhu.			Total: 32731		
T: 1. Krishno Babani Parab.			By order and in the name of the Governor		
198/23 part O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.		60	of Goa.		
2. Reghuraj Vassudev Deshprabhu.			<i>Anju S. Kerkar</i> , Under Secretary (Revenue-II).		
T: 1. Ladu Shiva Parab.			Porvorim, 10th February, 2015.		
198/24 part O: 1. Govind Laxman Parab.		55	Notification		
T: Nil.			No. 23/19/2013-RD		
198/25 part O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.		23	Whereas, by Government Notification		
2. Reghuraj Vassudev Deshprabhu.			No. 23/19/2013-RD dated 20-06-2013 published		
T: 1. Ganesh Keshav Parab.			at Series II No. 14 of the Official Gazette dated		
198/26 part O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.		58	04-07-2013 and in two local newspapers,		
2. Reghuraj Vassudev Deshprabhu.			namely "The Navhind Times" and "Sunaparant"		
T: 1. Ladu Shiva Parab.			both dated 27-06-2013 and Corrigendum		
272/20 part O: 1. Comunidade.		1761	No. 23/19/2013-RD dated 06-09-2013 published at		
T: 1. Govind Shripad Bhende.			Series II No. 25 of the Official Gazette dated		
272/14 part O: 1. Govind Shripad Bhende.		15	19-09-2013 and in two local newspapers namely		
T: Nil.			"The Navhind Times" and "Sunaparant" both		
			dated 17-09-2013 it was notified under Section 4		
			of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1		
			of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"),		
			that the land specified in the Schedule appended		
			to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as		

the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of M-6 Minor of RBMC of TIP in Dhargal Village of Pernem Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as “the Government”) after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as “the said land”), is needed for the public purpose now specified as Land Acquisition for construction of M-6 Minor of RBMC of TIP of Water Resources Department in Dhargal Village of Pernem Taluka, on dissolution of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under Clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Pernem

Village: Dhargal

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
258/2	O: 1. Rukmini Ladkobha Prabhudessai. 2. Uttam Gopal Prabhudessai. 3. Jaiwanthi Ganpat Matkar. 4. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai. 5. Ganshyam Yashwant Prabhudessai. 6. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 7. Mahadev Ganshyam Prabhudessai. 8. Raoji Tukaram Prabhudessai. 9. Bhalchandra Gopal Prabhudessai.	159

1	2	3
	10. Jairam Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 11. Shivaji Govind Dessai Parsekar. 12. Vaman Vishnu Prabhudessai. 13. Shivram Balkrishna Prabhudessai. 14. Bhalchandra Mukund Prabhudessai. 15. Raoji Pandurag Prabhudessai. 16. Ganshyam Vothal Prabhudessai. 17. Govt. of Goa: Executive Engineer, W.D. VII, GTIDC, Dhargal, Pernem 13260 sq. mts. T: Nil. <i>Other Rights:</i> Dhareshwar Temple, Narayan Temple, Bhumika, Vez, Tulas, Deepmala, Smadhi.	
226/2	O: 1. Madhusudhan Neelkant Prabhudessai. 2. Balkrishna Ladkhoba Prabhudessai. T: Nil.	1379
226/3	O: 1. Raghunath Gangaji Prabhudessai. 2. Gangaji Pandurang Prabhudessai. T: Nil.	270
226/4	O: 1. Keshav Vithal Kambli. 2. Nhanu Vithal Kambli.	417
226/5	O: 1. Mahadev Ganashyam Prabhudessai. 2. Raghuvir Ramachandra Churi. 3. Madhusudhan Neelkant Prabhudessai. 4. Uttam Arjun Kesarkar. 5. Yeshwant Arjun Kesarkar. 6. Uttam Jayram Dhargalkar. 7. Anant Mahadev Dhargalkar. 8. Surya Shiva Dhargalkar. 9. Balkrishna Ladkobha Deshprabhu. T: Nil.	370
225/1	O: 1. Raghunath Keshav Prabhudessai. 2. Mahadev Ganashyam Prabhudessai.	2506

1	2	3	1	2	3
	3. Raoji Tukaram Prabhudessai.			7. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.	
	4. Jayram Balkrishna Prabhudessai.			8. Ramchandra Mahadev Parab Dessai.	
	5. Ramesh Uttam Prabhudessai.			T: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	
224/1	T: Nil.		164/0	O: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	1366
	O: 1. Shridev Narayan.	2053		2. Ganesh Govind Azerekar.	
	2. Narayan Valsala Colvalkar.			3. Shriram Govind Azerekar.	
	3. Govind Jashvantrao Dessai.			4. Shrikant Laxman Laad.	
	4. Raghuvir Ramchandra Churi.			5. Keshav Krishnaji Laad.	
	5. Appa Babani Dhargalkar.		163/8	6. Madhusuadhan Krishnaji Shenvi.	
	6. Gopal Ramchandra Prabhudessai.			T: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	
	7. Kashibai Pandurang Prabhudessai.			O: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	62
	8. Madhusudhan Neelkant Prabhudessai.			2. Ganesh Govind Azerekar.	
	9. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.		163/7	3. Shriram Govind Azerekar.	
	10. Baburao Gopal Dhargalkar.			4. Shrikant Laxman Laad.	
	T: 1. Baburao Atmaram Kesarkar.			5. Keshav Krishnaji Laad.	
	2. Govind Bhiva Halarankar.			6. Madhusuadhan Krishnaji Shenvi.	
	3. Raghuvir Ramchandra Churi.			T: 1. Rama Krishna Mandrekar.	
	4. Krishnaji Kashinath Marathe.		163/6	O: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	115
	5. Vinayak Raghunath Prabhudessai.			2. Ganesh Govind Azerekar.	
227/10	OR: 1. Narayan Appa Bhivji- -Caretaker.			3. Shriram Govind Azerekar.	
	O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.	365		4. Shrikant Laxman Laad.	
	T: 1. Vinayak Raghunath Prabhudessai.		163/5	5. Keshav Krishnaji Laad.	
198/4	O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.	447		6. Madhusuadhan Krishnaji Shenvi.	
	T: 1. Narayan Appa Bhivji.			T: 1. Rama Laxman Mandrekar.	
	2. Nakul Bablo Harmalkar.			O: 1. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.	105
	3. Atmaram Punkulo Salgaonkar.			2. Ganesh Govind Azerekar.	
	4. Kusthath Rosario Cunha.		159/2	3. Shriram Govind Azerekar.	
165/1	O: 1. Ganesh Govind Azerekar.	1525		4. Shrikant Laxman Laad.	
	2. Shriram Govind Azerekar.			5. Keshav Krishnaji Laad.	
	3. Venkatesh Govind Azerekar.		159/1	6. Madhusuadhan Krishnaji Shenvi.	
	4. Mahadev Ganshyam Prabhudessai.			T: 1. Harichandra Babu Salgaonkar.	
	5. Keshav Krishnaji Laad.			O: 1. Rajendra Vassudev Deshprabhu.	760
	6. Shrikant Laxman Laad.			2. Francis Rodrigues- -500 sq. m. land.	
				T: Nil.	
				O: 1. Gajanan Janardhan Zarapkar.	1231
				T: Nil.	

1	2	3
47/1	O: 1. Jairam Bablo Vaskar. 2. Vishnu Laxman Vaskar. 3. Narayan Yesso Vaskar. 4. Shyamsundar Vassudev Bandodkar. 5. Vamanji Jeshwant Dessai. 6. Ajith Sumantarao Bandodkar. 7. Shanta Chandrakant Kolwalkar. T: 1. Narayan Yesso Vaskar.	855
46/18	O: 1. Jairam Bablo Vaskar. 2. Vishnu Laxman Vaskar. 3. Narayan Yesso Vaskar. 4. Shyamsundar Vassudev Bandodkar. 5. Vaikund Bhavan Bandodkar. 6. Shripad Atamaram Dessai. 7. Ajith Sumantarao Bandodkar. T: Nil.	1120

Boundaries:

North : S. No. 225, 226/2 to 5, 159/1, 258/2.

South : S. No. 46/18, 160/4-A, 4-A-6, Road.

East : S. No. 225, 224/1, 227/10, 198/4, 165, 164, 163/5 to 8, 159/2, 160/4, 4-A, 6, 6-A, 6-B, 258/2, 36/1, 38/1.

West : S. No. 225, 165, 164, 163/5 to 8, 258/2, 226/2, 224/1, 227/10, 198/4, 159/2, 147/1, 46/18.

Total: 15200

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).
Porvorim, 10th February, 2015.

Notification

No. 23/27/2013-RD

Whereas, by Government Notification No. 23/27/2013-RD dated 04-10-2013 published at Series II No. 29 of the Official Gazette dated 17-10-2013 and in two local newspapers, namely "Lokmat" and "The Times of India" both dated 08-10-2013, it was notified under Section 4

of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for the construction of Bastora Minor-I from Ch. 0.00 m to Ch. 1310 m of LBMC of TIP in Bastora Village of Bardez Taluka (addl. area).

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as "the said land"), is needed for the public purpose now specified as Land Acquisition for the construction of Bastora Minor-I from Ch. 0.00 m to Ch. 1310 m of LBMC of TIP of Water Resources Department in Bastora Village of Bardez Taluka (addl. area), on dissolution of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under Clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), WRD, Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), WRD, Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Bardez		<i>Village:</i> Bastora
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
8/1 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Prabakar Dattaram Morajkar.	9
8/2 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Maria Conceisao Fernandes.	96
8/4 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Cicilia Fernandes.	85
8/5 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Fr. Blazio Antonio DSouza.	535
11/4 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Rajaram Narayan Kautankar.	880

1	2	3
11/13 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Prabhakar Dattaram Morajkar.	18
161/4 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Angelina Arango.	24
161/5 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Gulab Saulo Mashelkar.	191
161/6 part	O: Comunidade of Bastora. T: Arjun Chandra Bicholker. T: Gunasi Arjun Bicholker.	137
161/7 part	O: Comundade of Bastora. T: Joao Ignatius D Souza.	59
<i>Boundaries :</i>		
North : S. No. 161/4, 5, 6, 7, 8/4, Nalla, Road.		
South : S. No. 161/4, 5, 6, 7, 8/5, Road.		
East : S. No. 11/4, 13, 8/1, 2, 4, 161/7, 8/5.		
West : S. No. 161/4, 8/1, 2, 4, 5, 11/4, 13.		
Total: 2034		

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).
Porvorim, 10th February, 2015.

Notification

No. 22/7/2010-RD

Whereas, by Government Notification No. 22/7/2010-RD dated 16-07-2010 published in Series II No. 17 of the Official Gazette dated 22nd July, 2010 and in two local newspapers, viz. "Lokmat" and "The Times of India" both dated 21-07-2010 and Corrigendum to the Notification issued u/s 4(1) of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") bearing No. 22/7/2010-RD dated 19-07-2011 published in Series II No. 16 of the Official Gazette dated 21st July, 2011 & in two local newspapers viz. "Herald" and "Tarun Bharat" both dated 21-07-2011. Notification under Section 6 of the said Act bearing No. 22/7/2010-RD dated 01-11-2011 has been published in Official Gazette Series II No. 32 dated 10-11-2011 and in two local newspapers viz. "Lokmat" and "The Navhind Times" both dated 06-11-2011, that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz.

Land Acquisition for providing approach road to parking space through survey No. 8 and parking space in Survey No. 11, 1, 19 (part) of village Ponda. Thereafter Government issued Notification No. 22/7/2010-RD dated 17-09-2013, thereby amending Principal Notification u/s 6 of the L.A. Act, read with Section 21, published in Official Gazette Series II No. 26 dated 26-09-2013 in two local newspapers viz. "Gomantak Times" and "Goa Doot" both dated 19-9-2013.

And whereas, in the opinion of the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as the "Government"), a portion of the said land as specified in the appended Schedule hereto is not required for the aforesaid purpose.

Now, therefore, the Government of Goa in pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 48 of the said Act and all other powers enabling it in this behalf hereby withdraw from acquisition of the portion of said land as specified in the schedule hereto.

A plan of the said land shall be available for inspection at the Office of the Collector, North Goa District, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda		Village: Ponda
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
8 2 part:	Gajanan Shankar Sawant.	770
6 part	1. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 2. Gajanan Shankar Sawant. 3. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	100
5 part	1. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 2. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	265
4 part	1. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 2. Government of Goa, Executive Engineer, W.D. III, Water Resources Dept., Ponda-Goa.	7
7 part	Laxmi Kalu Naik.	335
8 part	1. Gajanan Shankar Sawant. 2. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 3. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	335
9 part	1. Laxmi Kalu Naik.	217
10 part	1. Gajanan Shankar Sawant. 2. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 3. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	217
15 part	1. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 2. Gajanan Shankar Sawant. 3. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	39

1	2	3
	4. Government of Goa, Executive Engineer, W.D. III, Water Resources Dept., Ponda-Goa.	
1 Part	1. Rawalnath Shankar Sawant. 2. Gajanan Shankar Sawant. 3. Krishnanath Shankar Sawant.	40
		Total: 2325

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 12th February, 2015.

Notification

No. 23/16/2013-RD

Whereas, by Government Notification No. 23/16/2013-RD dated 23-06-2013 published at Series II No. 14 of the Official Gazette dated 04-07-2013 and in two local newspapers, namely "Herald" and "Sunaparant" both dated 02-07-2013, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Bastora Minor-2 from Ch. 0.00 km. to Ch. 2.340 km. of LBMC of TIP in Guirim Village of Bardez Taluka (additional area).

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as "the said land"), is needed for the public purpose now specified as Land Acquisition for construction of Bastora Minor-2 from Ch. 0.00 km. to Ch. 2.340 km. of LBMC of TIP of Water Resources Department, in Guirim Village of Bardez Taluka (additional area) on dissolution of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under Clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of

the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez		Village: Guirim
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
133/1 part	Comunidade. T: Kanta Shamba Kasker.	11
133/2 part	O: Comunidade. T: Rajaram Biehu Manjreker.	448
133/3 part	O: Comunidade. T: Ana Graca Franco.	179
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North : S. No. 133/1, 2.		
South : S. No. 133/3.		
East : S. No. 118/13, 14.		
West : S. No. 135/7, 132/4.		
		Total: 638

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).
Porvorim, 9th February, 2015.

Notification

No. 23/18/2013-RD

Whereas, by Government Notification No. 23/18/2013-RD dated 20-06-2013 published at Series II No. 14 of the Official Gazette dated 04-07-2013 and in two local newspapers, namely "Pudhari" and "The Navhind Times" both dated 26-06-2013, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the said land), was needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Siolim distributory from Ch. 1.66 km. to Ch. 5.455 km. of LBMC of TIP in Siolim Village of Bardez Taluka (additional area).

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2)

of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as "the said land"), is needed for the public purpose now specified as Land Acquisition for construction of Siolim distributory from Ch. 1.66 km. to Ch. 5.455 km. of LBMC of TIP of Water Resources Department, in Siolim Village of Bardez Taluka (additional area) on dissolution of the Goa Tillari Irrigation Development Corporation.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under Clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of the Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer (N), W.R.D., Karaswada, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Bardez		<i>Village:</i> Siolim
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
Sy. No. 222 part	Francisco Pinto De Menezes.	1970
<i>Boundaries:</i>		
North : Sy. No. 222.		
South : Sy. No. 222.		
East : Sy. No. 223.		
West : Sy. No. 210/1.		
		Total: 1970

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).
Porvorim, 9th February, 2015.

Department of Transport

Directorate of Transport

Notification

No. 5/9/90-Tpt/2015/484

In exercise of powers conferred by Clause (xii) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 22 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Motor Vehicles Tax Rules, 1974, the Government of Goa hereby exempts Vehicle No. GA-03/N-7755 of make Ashok Leyland (Bus) bearing chassis No. JDR141475 and engine No. KDH341435 of model January, 2006 owned by Domnic and Jo-an Ministries, H. No. 315/4, Tropa Vaddo, Sodiem, Siolim, Bardez-Goa, from payment of tax due to this State being Charitable Institution.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Arun L. Desai, Director & ex officio Addl.
Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 11th February, 2015.

Department of Tribal Welfare

Directorate of Tribal Welfare

Notification

No. DTW/STAT/PC/2012-13/62

The Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Kamlakar Mhalshi, retired Gr. 1 teacher and Educationalist as member of the State Level Committee under the Scheme Setting up of Pre-Primary Schools for Scheduled Tribes Children in Remote Areas and is hereby published for general information of public, which shall come into force from the date of Notification.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Sandhya Kamat, Director (Tribal Welfare).
Panaji, 18th February, 2015.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 26.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA-376/360-2/2015.